

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA MAR 08 2010

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE

VIRLYNN TINNELL
CLERK SUPERIOR COURT
BY: PSG DEPUTY

HONORABLE STEVEN F. CONN
DIVISION 3
DATE: MAR. 8, 2010

REDACTED
COPY

SC*
VIRLYNN TINNELL, CLERK

COURT NOTICE/ORDER/RULING

STATE OF ARIZONA,
Plaintiff,
vs.
WARREN STEED JEFFS,
Defendant.

No. CR-2007-0743

The Court has read the following pleadings:

1. The Defendant's Motion for Deposition of Witness Lamont Barlow
2. The State's Response to Motion for Deposition of Witness Lamont Barlow
3. Mr. Barlow's Memorandum in Opposition to Motion for Deposition of
Witness Lamont Barlow
4. Mr. Barlow's Supplementation of Record Re: Memorandum in Opposition
to Motion for Deposition of Witness Lamont Barlow
5. The Defendant's Reply to Response and Opposition to Motion for Deposition
of Witness Lamont Barlow

The Court had already indicated at the hearing on March 5, 2010, that it was directing Mr. Barlow to submit to a personal interview at a time and place of his choosing, or else be subject to a deposition pursuant to Rule 15.3. The Court had reserved the right to define the scope of the interview until after reviewing in more detail the above pleadings. The Court by this Order does



not purport to address any objections that could be made at trial as to the admissibility of any information obtained through the above interview.

IT IS ORDERED that the scope of the re-interview of Mr. Barlow will be limited to the allegation that he told Shannon Price that ██████████ed at the Utah criminal trial against the Defendant. That will certainly include what he told Ms. Price regarding this issue and the circumstances of that conversation and to whom else he has spoken regarding this issue and the circumstances of any such conversations. The Court does not categorically rule out the possibility of Mr. Barlow's answers to these questions giving rise to further questioning beyond the above scope, but the Court emphasizes that the intent of making Mr. Barlow available to be interviewed a second time is not to give counsel an opportunity to ask questions that were not thought of before but is to follow up on the allegation that he told Ms. Price that his wife lied at the Utah trial and to ask any questions that flow logically from that assertion to the extent that they could lead to information arguably relevant at the trial in this case.

cc:

Mohave County Attorney*

Michael L. Piccarreta*
145 South Sixth Avenue
Tucson, AZ 85701
Attorney for Defendant

Roger H. Hoole
4276 South Highland Drive
Salt Lake City, UT 84124
Attorney for Lamont Barlow

Richard A. Wright
300 S. Fourth Street, Suite 701
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Co-Counsel for Defendant

Mohave County Court Administrator*
Kip Anderson

Mohave County Jail*

Honorable Steven F. Conn*
Division 3