

psd

FILED

ORIGINAL 10

2009 NOV 25 PM 12:59

VIRLYNN TINNELL  
SUPERIOR COURT CLERK

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

PICCARRETA DAVIS PC  
145 South Sixth Avenue  
Tucson, AZ 85701-2007  
(520) 622-6900  
Michael L. Piccarreta  
State Bar No. 003962  
Email: mlp@pd-law.com  
Jefferson Keenan  
State Bar No. 013896  
Email: jkeenan@pd-law.com  
Attorneys for Defendant

-and-

WRIGHT STANISH & WINCKLER  
300 S. Fourth Street, Suite 701  
Las Vegas, NV 89101  
(702) 382-4004  
Richard A. Wright  
Email: rick@wswlawlv.com  
Nevada Bar No. 000886  
Attorney for Defendant

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE

STATE OF ARIZONA,	)	NO. CR-2007-953
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	MOTION FOR DEPOSITION
vs.	)	OF WITNESS CAROLYN JESSOP
	)	
WARREN STEED JEFFS,	)	
	)	[Oral Argument Requested]
Defendant.	)	[Hon. Steven F. Conn]
	)	


The defendant, Warren Jeffs, by and through his counsel undersigned, pursuant to Rule 15.3 of the Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, respectfully requests this Court to order the deposition of witness Carolyn Jessop or alternatively preclude her as a witness at trial.




1 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 23rd day of November, 2009.

2 WRIGHT STANISH & WINCKLER

PICCARRETA DAVIS PC

3  
4 By   
Richard A. Wright  
5 Attorney for Warren Jeffs

By   
6 Michael L. Piccarreta  
Jefferson Keenan  
7 Attorneys for Warren Jeffs

8 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

9 The State has recently informed the defendant that Ms. Jessop will not  
10 cooperate in completing her pretrial interview. Ms. Jessop is a person whose  
11 “testimony is material to the case or necessary to adequately prepare a defense or  
12 investigate the offense,” for the purpose of Rule 15.3, for many reasons, the most  
13 obvious of which is the fact that she is purportedly one of the State’s “expert”  
14 witnesses. She is one of the individuals who lived in the Fundamental Church of  
15 Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints (FLDS) community in the Hildale/Colorado  
16 City area for some time and she has relayed factual stories, most of which are in  
17 dispute, about the events she experienced, her perceptions about those events,  
18 and why she left the FLDS. Carolyn Jessop has received benefits from another  
19 former FLDS member, Dan Fischer, and his Diversity Foundation. She has also  
20 received hundreds of thousands of dollars for authoring anti-FLDS books  
21 relating to her alleged experiences. She readily admits that her perspective may  
22 differ from those of others who had been members or have had interactions with  
23 the FLDS. In her previous statements, she has railed against her ex-spouse,  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Merrill Jessop, and his wife, Barbara, and describes their purportedly bad  
2 behavior, while claiming her behavior above reproach. Admittedly, such a  
3 black/white approach does sell books and has been used over the decades by  
4 numerous individuals who have left a wide variety of religions. These disputed  
5 factual matters have nothing to do with any of the factual allegations in the two  
6 pending prosecutions against the defendant in the state of Arizona and are, in  
7 fact, more akin to the type of "he said, she said" allegations that permeate  
8 divorce court or afternoon daytime talk shows. Nonetheless, she is one of the  
9 State's listed "experts."  
10  
11

12  
13 Ms. Jessop's initial Rule 15 interview was originally scheduled for August  
14 19, 2008.<sup>1</sup> Prior to her interview, defense counsel requested Ms. Jessop to bring  
15 certain documentation in order to shorten the length of the interview:  
16

17 In regards to Ms. Jessop, since she has profited considerably from  
18 this case, there will be inquiry into her financial benefits, including  
19 her book deal and monies received as a result of that, the proposed  
20 movie deal and any monies received or to be received as a result of  
21 that. I would request that Ms. Jessop bring with her any and all  
22 documentation relating to these financial benefits including  
23 contracts, documents which show the money she received or may  
24 potentially receive. The more documents she brings, the less time  
we will have to spend questioning her on the financial aspects. She  
should be advised that the documents will be especially important if  
she claims she is unaware of the financial benefits for the book,

25  
26  
27  
28  

---

<sup>1</sup> Prior to the August 19, 2008, interview, defense counsel notified the State that he had been  
unable to review Ms. Jessop's deposition given in a civil suit against Mr. Jeffs and the FLDS  
and would therefore need to complete her interview at a later date. Defense counsel also offered  
to postpone the initial interview, and conduct a complete interview at a later date.

1 movie, speaking engagements, etc. Financial benefits also include  
2 any expenses that have been advanced to her for any of her media  
3 appearances. If she does not have the documents and cannot answer  
4 the questions, we will have to finish the interview at a later date  
when she has access to that information.

5 [Electronic Correspondence from Defense Counsel to Mohave County Attorney  
6 Matthew J. Smith, August 18, 2008] [emphasis added].

7  
8 Of course, Ms. Jessop did not bring the requested documentation, thus  
9 necessitating re-scheduling the interview, but Ms. Jessop promised “to get the  
10 information to Mr. Smith within 90 days, the contracts, the documents.” [Carolyn  
11 Jessop Interview, August 20, 2008, p. 82].

12  
13 At the continuation of her interview on December 10, 2008, Ms. Jessop  
14 once again failed to bring the requested documentation concerning the financial  
15 benefits she received from the publication of her book. As a courtesy to Ms.  
16 Jessop, her interviews were scheduled in her home town, Salt Lake City, and all  
17 of the lawyers travelled to her. All parties then agreed that the interview would  
18 be finished at a later date, possibly over the telephone. [Interview of Carolyn  
19 Jessop, December 10, 2008, pp. 56-57]. Nonetheless, in the ensuing months, Ms.  
20 Jessop failed to provide all of the requested documents to the State, despite  
21 several requests from the State. Ms. Jessop also failed to confirm a date for the  
22 completion of the interview, also despite numerous requests. Finally, on  
23 September 29, 2009, defense counsel advised the State:  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 I think both of us have been more than patient with Carolyn Jessop.  
2 She promised to send the paperwork to us months ago so we could  
3 finish up her interview. She failed to do so. She promised to get  
4 back to you with some dates to finish up the interview. So far, no  
5 dates have been proffered by her. I think both of us are receiving the  
6 runaround. Accordingly, unless we have a new date set for Ms.  
7 Jessop at which time she will provide us the necessary financial  
8 information relating to her book a reasonable time before that date, I  
9 will be forced to file a motion for deposition. I really don't want to  
10 get Judge Conn involved on what should be a simple matter, but I'm  
11 left with no other options if she will not comply with our reasonable  
12 requests.

13 [Electronic Correspondence to Mohave County Attorney Matthew J. Smith,  
14 September 29, 2009].

15 The parties then agreed that the completion of Ms. Jessop's interview  
16 would occur on November 6, 2009, over the telephone. On November 4, 2009,  
17 the completion of Ms. Jessop's telephonic interview was re-scheduled for  
18 November 24, 2009, at the State's request. Ms. Jessop promised to disclose her  
19 tax returns showing the financial benefits she received from the publication of  
20 her book in advance of the completion of her interview. However, on November  
21 20, 2009, the State advised defense counsel that Carolyn had called off the  
22 completion of her interview.

23 It should be readily apparent that Ms. Jessop had made it clear that she  
24 "will not cooperate in granting a personal interview" under Rule 15.3 of the  
25 Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, especially considering that she is a  
26 proposed "expert" witness and she has failed to disclose the documents that are  
27  
28

1 necessary for the completion of her interview. Carolyn Jessop's refusal to  
2 complete her interview and provide the necessary documentation that she has  
3 repeatedly agreed to provide clearly renders her uncooperative within the  
4 meaning of Rule 15.3. Both the State and the defense have bent over backwards  
5 to accommodate her.  
6


7  
8 In essence, one of the State's experts refuses to voluntarily complete their  
9 Rule 15 interview. Thus, if the State realistically intends to call her as a witness,  
10 she must do so or be deposed. Alternatively, if she continues to refuse to  
11 cooperate, she must be stricken from the witness list.  
12

13 Accordingly, the defendant respectfully requests this Court to order the  
14 deposition of Carolyn Jessop to take place at a date, time and location convenient  
15 to counsel, considering all of the past failed efforts to reasonably accommodate  
16 Ms. Jessop. The defendant further respectfully requests this Court to order Ms.  
17 Jessop to produce any of the requested documents that she agreed to produce but  
18 has not done so. Alternatively, if Ms. Jessop continues to decline to cooperate,  
19 the defendant requests that she be stricken as witness.  
20  
21

22 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 23rd day of November, 2009.


23 WRIGHT STANISH & WINCKLER

24  
25 By

  
Richard A. Wright  
Attorney for Warren Jeffs

PICCARRETA DAVIS PC

26  
27 By

  
Michael L. Piccarreta  
Jefferson Keenan  
Attorneys for Warren Jeffs  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Original of the foregoing mailed  
this 23rd day of November, 2009, to:

Clerk of Mohave County Superior Court  
401 East Spring Street  
Kingman, AZ 86401

Copy of the foregoing emailed  
this 23rd day of November, 2009, to:

Hon. Steven F. Conn  
Mohave County Superior Court  
401 East Spring Street  
Kingman, AZ 86401

Matthew J. Smith, Esq.  
Mohave County Attorney's Office  
315 North Fourth Street  
P.O. Box 7000  
Kingman, AZ 86402-7000